ORDINANCE NO. 90 - 22

The read of the second

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 89-35; PALM BEACH COUNTY CONCURRENCY EXEMPTION ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION V - DEFINITIONS BY DELETING UNILATERAL STIPULATION; BY AMENDING SECTION VII - PROCEDURE: TO CLARIFY AND AMEND TIME FRAMES; PROVIDING FOR REVOCATION OF A DETERMINATION; TO PERMIT THE PLANNING DIRECTOR TO PRESENT THE STIPULATION TO THE HEARING OFFICER FOR SIGNATURE BY: BY AMENDING SECTION VIII D - CATEGORIES OF CONCURRENCY EXEMPTION DETERMINATIONS; BY AMENDING SECTION IX - CRITERIA IN DETERMINING IF AN APPROVAL REMAINS VALID; BY AMENDING SECTION XI - REVOCATION OF CONCURRENCY EXEMPTION DETERMINATION; ADDING A PROVISION FOR AN EXPEDITED HEARING IF AN EXEMPTION IS REVOKED DUE TO WILFULLY INCORRECT INFORMATION; BY AMENDING SECTION XIII - SPECIAL CRITERIA FOR WATER CONTROL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE FOR GRANTING AN EXEMPTION TO GEOGRAPHICALLY AREAS; TO ELIMINATE THE SIMILAR REOUIREMENT OF DEMONSTRATING INVESTMENT-BACKED EXPECTATIONS; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 89-35 was adopted by the Board of County Commissioners in December, 1989; and

WHEREAS, certain procedural aspects of the Ordinance have proven to be burdensome; and

WHEREAS, the requirement of demonstrating reasonable investment backed expectations in Water Control Districts is extremely burdensome to applicant as no clear standards exist and as this requirement overlaps other criteria as set forth; and

WHEREAS, it is not the intent to lower the standards
that must be met, but to create more reasonable criteria; and
WHEREAS, certain technical errors need to be corrected;
and

WHEREAS, the process as established is often very time
consuming; and

WHEREAS, the time frames for accomplishing various tasks are inconsistent and need clarification; and

WHEREAS, certain enforcement provisions have been determined to be necessary; and

WHEREAS, it is not the intent to lengthen the process, but to set realistic time frames and to streamline and expedite the process whenever possible.

47

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of County Commissioners of Palm Beach County, as follows:

Section V - Definitions of Ordinance 89-35; Palm Beach County Concurrency Exemption Ordinance is hereby amended by deleting the following:

Definitions:

Unilateral Stipulation - a document executed by the Planning
Director submitted to the Hearing Officer pursuant to Section
VII.

Section VII - Procedure of Ordinance 89-35; Palm Beach County Concurrency Exemption Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

Procedure:

- A. Submit to Planning. Applications for a Concurrency Exemption Determination shall be submitted to the Planning Division of the Planning, Zoning and Building Department of Palm Beach County.
 - B. Contents of Application.
- (1) Form. The Application shall be made on a form established by the Department of Planning, Zoning and Building and available at the Planning Division.
- information as the form requires and as reasonably necessary to provide complete information for a determination under this Ordinance, as determined by the Planning Director, including any information adverse to the applicant. Nothing herein in the form or the requirements of the Planning Director shall be construed as a limitation on the authority of the Hearing Officer to require additional relevant information. The information upon which the claim for a Concurrency Exemption Determination is based shall be presented in a coherent, cohesive, organized manner. It shall include all Development Orders, contracts, letters, appraisals, reports, or other documents, items, or grounds upon which the claim is based. It shall set forth the Section or Sections of this Ordinance under which the Application is made.

- (3) Sworn Statement. The Application shall contain a Sworn Statement. attesting to the truth and accuracy of the information contained therein.with tThe information upon which the claim for a Concurrency Exemption Determination is based presented in a coherent, cohesive, organized manner. It shall include all Development Orders, contracts, letters, appraisals, reports, or other documents, items, or things upon which the claim is based. It shall set forth the Section or Sections of this Ordinance under which the Application is made.
- (4) Waiver. The requirements of this paragraph B.; Contents of Application; shall may be waived by the Planning Director in whole or in part where the information in the files of the County demonstrate that a Lot, Parcel or area clearly qualifies for a Concurrency Exemption Determination unless other information known to the County indicates otherwise.
- C. Good Faith Application. By making an Application hereunder, the Applicant and agent each certify that the application is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay; and that the Applicant or agent have exercised due diligence in ensuring the completeness, accuracy, and veracity of the information. If any information in the application is found to be willfully or knowingly false, any action taken on that application may be automatically revoked.
- D. Remedy. Failure to comply with the obligations set forth in paragraphs B (3); Sworn Statement; or C; Good Faith Application; may, additionally, result in the County pursuing any remedy or penalty provided by law.
- E. Times. (1) The Planning Division shall screen the application to determine whether it is technically complete. Upon accepting a technically complete application, the Planning Director shall review the Application to determine its substantive completeness, accuracy, and sufficiency. Within ten (10) working days of acceptance of a technically complete application, the Planning Director shall send a letter to the Applicant verifying the completeness, accuracy, and sufficiency

of the information, or whether additional information is needed. If the Planning Director does not require additional information and no stipulation is to be issued, the Planning Director forthwith shall schedule the Application consideration by the Hearing Officer at the next available date. If additional or revised information is required by the Planning Director, the applicant shall have sixty (60) thirty (30) working days from the date the letter is sent to submit additional or revised information. Upon receipt of such additional information, the Planning Director shall have ten (10) working days to determine whether it is complete, accurate, and sufficient. If not sufficient, the Applicant shall have thirty (30) fifteen (15) working days to submit the required information, and the Planning Director ten (10) working days to review. If the information is then not sufficient, a last additional twenty (20) ten (10) working days to submit such shall be granted. Upon receipt of complete, accurate, and sufficient information, if a stipulation is not to be issued, the Planning Director shall schedule the Application forthwith for consideration by the Hearing Officer, at the next available date. The Hearing Officer shall consider the Applications within fifty (50) days of the date of scheduling. upon the date scheduled, or sooner if time frames are waived as set forth in Section VII E(2).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Recommendation or proposed Stipulation within no later fifteen (15) than thirty-five (35) working days of scheduling the Application prior to the date set for consideration of the Application by the Hearing Officer. This Preliminary Recommendation or proposed Stipulation shall be sent to the Applicant. It shall set forth the reasons for the Preliminary Recommendation or proposed Stipulation. The Applicant shall have fifteen (15) working days from the date the Preliminary Recommendation or proposed Stipulation is sent to respond. If no Stipulation is entered the response shall include a list of

the names, addresses, and phone numbers of all witnesses that the Applicant shall intends to present to the Hearing Officer and a complete and accurate summary of all arguments that the applicant or witness will make. Not fewer than five (5) working days prior to the date of the hearing, the Planning Division shall send a summary of all arguments that the Planning Division will intends to make to the Hearing Officer and a list of the names, addresses, phone numbers and summary of testimony of all witnesses that the Planning Division shall intends to present, if different than the Applicant's. documents shall be sent by certified mail, postage pre-paid, return receipt requested. The times set forth in this Paragraph E shall not be jurisdictional and may be waived or extended by the mutual written consent of the parties, or by the Hearing Officer for good cause shown upon application of a party. Working days shall not include Saturdays, Sundays, or legal holidays recognized by the County.

(3) Nothing set forth herein shall preclude the Planning Director or Applicant from using less than the number of days allotted for any task and, therefore, to accelerate the process whenever possible.

(4)(3) If at any time more than fifty (50) non-Planning Director initiated Applications are pending, an additional ten (10) calendar working days shall be added to each time within which the Planning Director must accomplish something.

F. Hearing. Stipulations.

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, the Planning Director may initiate an Application for a Concurrency Exemption Determination where all required the information is in the County records. indicates that the project clearly, Where that information convincingly, substantially and unequivocally unambiguously meets all the applicable criteria of this Ordinance for a Concurrency Exemption Determination. In such a case, the Planning

Director shall provide a Unilateral Stipulation along with a summary of the material facts to the Hearing Officer. The Unilateral Stipulation shall provide the subsequent Development Orders to which it applies and shall reference the time frame within which they must be issued to avoid the lapsing of the Concurrency Exemption Determination. (See Section X C; Duration). The Concurrency Exemption Determination shall set forth such other conditions as are reasonably necessary to meet the intent and purpose of this Ordinance.

- (2) Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, in this Ordinance, the Planning Director may present a stipulation to the Hearing Officer, when information in the County records or information presented by an Applicant subtantially and unambigously demonstrates to the Director that the requirements or intent of this Ordinance have been met and that a Concurrency Exemption should be granted.
- (3) Stipulation. If the Planning Director and Applicant have, or the Planning Director has, stipulated to a Concurrency Exemption Determination, the Hearing Officer shall issue a Concurrency Exemption Determination. Once a Stipulation is properly executed, the Development Orders may be issued notwithstanding that the Hearing Officer has not ratified the Stipulation.
- (a) The Stipulation and Concurrency Exemption Determination shall be sent by United States Certified Mail, First Class, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, to the Owners in the Project together with a statement informing the Owner that he may apply for a revision to the Concurrency Exemption Determination.
- (b) Any Owner in a Project for which a Stipulation or Concurrency Exemption Determination has been made based upon a Stipulation may apply for a modification to such. An application for modification shall meet the requirements of this Ordinance.

G. Hearings.

(1) (2) The Hearing Officer shall have the power to compel the production of evidence, compel compliance with requests for discovery, and compel the attendance of witnesses. These powers of the Hearing Officer may be enforced through a court of competent jurisdiction.

(2) (3) Oath. The Hearing Officer shall have the power to administer oaths. All testimony shall be under oath.

- (3) (4) Consideration. The Hearing Officer shall consider all relevant evidence, the Recommendation and argument of the Planning Director, and argument of the Applicant.
- (4) (5) Burden of Proof. The Applicant shall have the burden of coming going forward with the evidence and the burden of proof. The decision of the Hearing Officer shall be based on the greater weight of the competent substantial evidence as found by the Hearing Officer and after applying the criteria of this Ordinance.
- (5) (6) Formality. Formal rules of evidence shall not apply. Hearsay evidence may be admitted, but shall not form the sole basis upon which the Hearing Officer's decision is made. The hearing shall be conducted in a manner to ensure that procedural and substantive due process is afforded the Applicant.
- (6) (7) Order. The Hearing Officer shall approve or deny in whole or in part the Application for a Concurrency Exemption Determination, or may postpone the matter for submission of additional information evidence. A decision shall be made by the Hearing Officer within forty-five (45) fifteen (15) calendar working days of the date complete information evidence, as determined by the Hearing Officer, including the recommended order, is submitted to the Hearing Officer. An Applicant may elect by written notice to have the decision based on the information evidence he submitted at the hearing, in which case the decision shall be made within thirty-five (35) calendar fifteen (15) working days of such

election during which time or the submission by the Planning Director of additional information for review by the Hearing Officer, in subsequent a subsequent hearing, whichever shall occur last. iIf requested by either party, an additional hearing may be scheduled. The decision of the Hearing officer shall be reduced to writing and shall contain findings of fact and conclusion of law, and shall provide the legal description of the property to which it applies. It may contain reasonable conditions necessary to effect the purposes of this Ordinance and the Concurrency Requirements of the Plan. These conditions shall run with the land and be binding on the applicant, his successors and heirs and any affected third party. It The Order shall state that it is subject to expiration in accordance with this or subsequent ordinance(s) (See Section X C; Duration). It shall be filed with the Planning Director and a copy provided to the Applicant.

G. H. Appeals/Exhaustion.

- (1) Appeals shall be by petition for writ of certiorari to the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit Court in and for Palm Beach County. The petition shall be filed within thirty (30) days of the Rendition of the order. This time is jurisdictional. Only parties to the hearing may file an appeal.
- (2) Any claim for Vested rights as to the Concurrency Requirements of the Plan, for a Concurrency Exemption Determination, or a taking claim resulting from the Concurrency Requirements of the Plan (where the issues involve a Valid Local Government Development Order issued prior to the Effective Date of this Ordinance) shall not be made until the procedures under this Ordinance are exhausted or the County Attorney makes a written determination that this Ordinance does not apply.

H.

section VIII D of Ordinance 89-35; Palm Beach County Concurrency Exemption Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

Categories of Concurrency Exemption Determinations:

D. Owner exempt from concurrency. An Owner as to a particular single family residential parcel or lot who took substantial actions, in good faith, reliance relied on the regulations and laws applicable, or an act or omission of the County, with a then-existing reasonable investment-backed expectation of the ability to develop the parcel or lot. (See Sections IX B and XIII).

section IX Criteria of Ordinance 89-35; Palm Beach County Concurrency Exemption Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

Criteria:

(3) In determining whether the approval remains Valid, the County land development regulations shall control be considered along with evidence that the approval has not lapsed or the project has not been abandoned by passage of time or otherwise.

Section XI Revocation of Concurrency Exemption Determination of Ordinance 89-35; Palm Beach County Concurrency Exemption Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

Revocation of Concurrency Exemption Determination:

A. A Concurrency Exemption Determination may be reconsidered by the Hearing Officer notwithstanding any provision in this Code when the Hearing Officer determines, upon the application of any party to the hearing that the Concurrency Exemption Determination was based on materially inaccurate or incomplete information and that correct and complete information was reasonably obtainable by the Applicant. No application for reconsideration shall be considered unless such is filed within fifteen (15) days of Rendition of the order.

B. If a Concurrency Exemption if revoked pursuant to Section VII C, the Applicant may request a expedited hearing before the Hearing Officer for the purpose of demonstrating the truthfulness and accuracy of the information provided.

Section XIII Special Criteria for Water Control Districts of Ordinance No. 89-35; Palm Beach County Concurrency Exemption Ordinance is hereby amended as follows:

Special Criteria for Water Control District:

- B. Water Control District. Expenditures. For purposes of applying the criteria in this Section XIII, Water Control Districts providing the vast majority of improvements in taxing units for the construction of buildings on individual lots may be considered as though it were a Developer, and the amount of assessments made, and expanded only for, existing actual improvements and the design, engineering studies, and permits for such shall be considered expenditures for purposes of determining investment backed expectations and reliance by individual lot owners and whether such were substantial and reasonable. for determining whether a reasonable person would have an expectation that an individual lot could be developed.
- F. Notwithstanding the special criteria set forth in Section XIII, a Concurrency Exemption Determination shall be issued if a Concurrency Exemption Determination has been issued for a lot(s) which is part of the project or geographic area with the same level of Development as the lot(s) under consideration and the lot(s) under consideration has substantially the same material facts as the lot(s) which received the Concurrency Exemption Determination.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

The provisions of this Ordinance shall become effective upon receipt of the acknowledgement by the Secretary of State.

Sections VII F and XIII may be utilized in an application for a Concurrency Exemption Determination pending on the effective date of this ordinance, but for which a determination has not been issued on the effective date of this ordinance.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Board of County Commissioners of Palm Beach County, Florida, on the 24th day of July , 1990.

1
2
4 5 6
7
9 10
11
13 14 15
16 17
18 19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

	4"
I	By 1 3 35 graduation
	Chairman
	JOHN B. DUNKLE, CLERK Board of County Commissioners By DEPUTY CLERK
County Attorney	DEI OTT GEENN
	Department of State of State of
Florida, on the <u>6th</u> day of	<u>August</u> , 1990.
	ledgement from the Department of
State received on the10th day	y of August, 1990, at
8:09 A.M., and filed in	the Office of the Clerk of the
Board of County Commissioners	of Palm Beach County, Florida.
	STATE OF FLORIDA, COUNTY OF PALMBEACH I, JOHN B. DUNCE, ex-official Clark of the Board of County Schools are carrilly this to be a true and correct copy of inconsistantiled in my office on